

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
 Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
 Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Gyan Chand Goyal,
 New Market, Jaito,
 Distt. Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
 O/o District Public Relation Officer,
 Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,
 O/o Additional Director,
 Public Relation, 5th Floor,
 Punjab Civil Secretariat,
 Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.4310/2018

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
19.07.2018	Nil	31.08.2018	Nil	13.12.2018

Present:

Appellant- Sh. Gyan Chand.
Respondents- Sh. Sham Sunder, APRO, Head Office, Chandigarh;
 Sh. Vikas Sharma, Junior Assistant, Mansa.

ORDER

The case has been heard through video conferencing.

It shall be desirable to reproduce the contents of the information sought by the appellant.

1. 01 iBtoH 2016 s'A b? e/ nZi sZe fizB/ ghb/ ns/ Bhb/ gq?; δBkysh ekov pBk/ r/ jB ns/ fizB/ e/A; b ehs/ r/ jB T[jBK d/ nvo?; ns/ c'B Bzpo

;w/s;fb;NK fdZshnK ikD.

2. ghb/ ns/ Bhb/ ekov pDtkT[D b]h i' δosK jB T[jBK dhK ekghnK fdZshnK ikD.

3. 01 iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe ghb/ ns/ Bhb/ ekov fizB/ b'eK B/ ngbk]h ehs/ T[jBK dhK ekghnK fdZshnK

Cont...page..2



-2-

Appeal Case No.4310/2018

ikD.

4. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe fizB/ ekov gq?; d/ ikoh ehs/ rj/ TjBK dhNk ;h vh ns/ Bkb bZr/ d;skt/iK dhNk ekghNk fdZshNk ikD.
5. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe fizB/ ekov gq?; d/ ikoh ehs/ rj/ TjBK dhNk nEkoNh b?No dhNk ekghNk fdZshNk ikD.
6. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe i' Nh./ vh./ you/ gk/ jB TjBK ofi;No ns/ fpbK dhNk ekghNk fdZshNk ikD.
7. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe dcso fty/ fizBhNk rZvhnK jB TjBK dh fb;N ns/ i' g?No'b ns/ vhib d/ you/ gk/ TjBK d/ fpbK dhNk ekghNk
ns/ bkr p[Ze ofi;No dhNk c'N' ekghNk fdZshNk ikD.
8. dcso ftZu N'Nb fezb/ eqwukoh jB ns/ TjBK d/ Bkw, sBykj ns/ TjBK dh eh eh g';N j? ns/ TjBK d/ w'pkjhb Bzpo ;w/s fb;N fdZsh ikt/.
9. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe dcso tZb'A i' you/ gk/ TjBK d/ fpbK dhNk ekghNk fdZshNk ikD.
10. ੦੧ iBtoH s'A b? e/ nZi sZe b'e ;zgoe ftGkr dcso tZb'A i'-i' czeôB nN?zv ehs/ rj/ ns/ TjBK s/ i'-i'

Cont...page..3



-3-

Appeal Case No.4310/2018

youk j'fjnk TjBK dh fb;NK fpbK dh nK ekghnK fdZshnK ikD.

Obviously, the information sought is indiscriminate, impractical and enormous.

The respondents have timely asked him to deposit Rs. 1,272/- as cost of documents. Having failed to deposit the fee, he is not entitled to the information sought. The Commission further observes that such an exercise in seeking gigantic information with fishing intentions is counterproductive of the objectives of the RTI Act.

The appeal is **disposed** with the advice to the appellant to prune down his requisition to a feasible limit, in case, he is genuinely interested to serve any public cause.

14.08.2019

Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner